1	2	3	4
13	Maharashtra	0.29	12.00 to 20.00 according to zones.
14	Manipur	0.004	26.70 for Hill areas 23.70 others
15	Meghalaya	0.004	25.00
16	Mizoram	0.001	28.00
17	Nagaland	0,002	25.00
18	Orissa	0.16	25.00
19	Punjab	0.30	40.23 without meal or 36.23 with meals
20	Rajasthan	0.37	22.00
21	Sikkim	0.002	17.00
22	Tamilnadu	0.37	14.00
23	Tripura	0.004	17 80
24	Uttar Pradesh	1.68	23.00 to 25.00
25	West Bengal	0.20	26.95 or 23.75 plus two principle meals.
26	Andaman & Nicobar	0.001	27.00 (Andaman) 28.00 (Nicobar)
27	Chandigarh	0.01	36.23 with meals or 40.23 without meals
28	Dadra & Nagar Haveli	0.001	14.00
29	Delhi	0.08	41.45
30	Daman & Diu	0.03	18.40 to 22.00
31	Lakshadweep	Neg.	18.00
32	Pondicherry	0.01	11.00 to 14.00

Industrial Disputes Fending in Tribunals

1527. SHRI T.A. MOHAMMED SAQHY:
SHRI V. GOPALSAMY :
SHRI J. S. RAJU :

Will the Minister of LABOUR be pleas-'d to refer to the answer to Starred Question 8 given in the Rajya Sabha on 26th April, 1993 and state:

(a) the number of industrial disputes and applications instituted in each Tribunal during the last three years, year-Wise;

(b) the number of industrial disputes and applications disposed by each tribu nal during the last three years, year-wise;

(c) whether any review has been conducted by Government in the past regarding the disposal of disputes and applications and if so, what is the outcome of such an exercise;

(d) whether Government propose to Jcuble the strength of the tribunals ; and

(e) if not, what are the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF LABOUR (SHRI P. A. SANGMA) : (a) and (b) A statement is attached, (see below).

(c) to (e) Review of the disposal of industrial disputes and applications by the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Courts is conducted from time to time and necessary steps for their speedy disposal taken accordingly. There is at present no proposal to double the strength of CGITs due to budgetary constraints. However, one more CGIT-cum-Labour Court has been sanctioned during january, 1992 to be set tip at Jaipur.

Statement

Sl. No.	Location of CGIT	Number of Industrial Disputes received during the year			Number of Applications received during the year		
		1990	1991	1992	1990	1991	1992
1	Asansol	60	49	60	19	5	70
2	Bangalore	73	87	89	0	6	13
3	No. 1 Bombay	106	96	71	95	160	114
4	No. 2 Bombay	43	50	69	226	204	220
5	Calcutta	30	46	64	48	47	50
6	Chandigarh	200	189	148	198	451	1336
7	No. 1 Dhanbad	274	158	145	41	28	37
8	No. 2 Dhanbad	45	166	23	3	9	8
9	Jabalpur	230	239	250	173	251	1047
10	Kanpur	296	208	153	223	466	360
11	New Delhi	147	152	152	530	908	111

Sl. No.	Location of CGIT	Number of Industrial Disputes disposed of during the year			Number of Applications disposed of during the year		
		1990	1991	1992	1990	1991	1992
1	Asansol	65	87	64	9	9	72
2	Bangalore	16	28	32	0	0	4
3	No. 1 Bombay	55	44	3	125	52	0
4	No. 2 Bombay	34	55	44	616	426	356
5	Calcutta	61	51	60	45	31	52
6	Chandigarh	20	71	102	1704	266	196
7	No. 1 Dhanbad	129	120	120	27	21	29
8	No. 2 Dhanbad	95	42	106	9	3	12
9	Jabalpur	107	270	76	266	695	86
10	Kanpur	119	158	165	252	118	381
11	New Delhi	46	79	67	286	522	484